_	
(() ()	
6.14 P	

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/532,387 POMBEIRO ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Paul A. Zucker 1621 Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u> All Participants: (3) _____. (1) Paul A. Zucker. (4) _____. (2) Lawrence A. Steward. Date of Interview: 19 March 2007 Time: ___ Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference ☐ Applicant's representative) Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes □No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: 5,10 Prior art documents discussed: N/A Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate) (Examiner/SRE Signature)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner call Mr. Steward to indicate that the application was almost in condition for allowance except that the limitation "acetylacetonate" in claim 10 appeared to lack antecedent basis. The Examiner proposed and was given authorization, to make an Examiner's Amendment of the following form:

The claims have been amended as follows:

Claim 5, line 6: The phrase [or triflic acid] has been deleted and replaced with the phrase -- , triflic acid or acetylacetonate -- .